2	MATTHEW MCKEOWN E-mail: Matt.McKeown@usdoj.gov Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General Environment & Natural Resources Division United States Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, DC 20530 Telephone: (202) 514-3370 Facsimile: (202) 514-0557
6 7 8	CHRISTY L. KING E-mail: Christy.King@usdoj.gov Wisconsin Bar Number: 1038373 Environmental Enforcement Section Environment & Natural Resources Division United States Department of Justice P.O. Box 7611 Washington, D.C. 20044 Telephone: (202) 514-1707 Facsimile: (202) 514-2583
	GEORGE CARDONA Acting United States Attorney for the Central District of California Attorneys for the United States of America
15	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA EASTERN DIVISION
17 18 19	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Plaintiff, Case No. v. COMPLAINT
20 21	CEMEX CALIFORNIA CEMENT, } LLC, Defendant.
22 23 24	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES PURSUANT TO THE CLEAN AIR ACT
25 26	Plaintiff, the United States of America, by authority of the Attorney General of the United States and through the undersigned attorneys, acting at the request of the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"),
27	files this complaint and alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action
pursuant to Section 113(b) of the Clean Air Act ("CAA"), 42 U.S.C. § 7413(b),
and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1345.

2. Venue is proper in this District under Section 113(b) of the CAA, 42
U.S.C. § 7413(b), and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because the violations occurred in this
District, and because the Defendant may be found in this District.

NATURE OF ACTION

3. This is a civil action brought against CEMEX California Cement, LLC ("CEMEX" or "Defendant"), pursuant to Section 113(b) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(b), and Section 167 of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7477, for the assessment of injunctive relief and civil penalties for violations of the CAA and California's applicable implementation plan, occurring at its facility located in and near Victorville, San Bernardino County, California.

AUTHORITY

- 4. Authority to bring this action is vested in the United States

 Department of Justice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 516 and 519 and Section 305(a) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7605(a).
- 5. Notice of the commencement of this action has been given to the appropriate air pollution control agency in the state of California, as required by Section 113(b) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(b).

DEFENDANT

6. Defendant is a limited liability company established under the laws of the State of Delaware. Defendant owns and operates a portland cement manufacturing facility located in and near Victorville, California (hereinafter the "Facility").

- 7. The Facility consists of two geographical components. One is the Black Mountain Quarry Plant ("Quarry Plant") located near Apple Valley, California and the other is the River Plant located in Victorville, California. The two Plants are connected by a dedicated railroad.
- 8. At the Quarry Plant, limestone, clay and other raw materials are combined and heated to produce clinker. Clinker consists of small dark gray nodules 3-4 centimeters in diameter. The clinker is transported from the Quarry Plant to the River Plant where it is ground and combined with gypsum to produce cement.
- 9. During the clinker production process, the Facility emits pollutants, including, but not limited to, carbon monoxide ("CO"), nitrogen oxides ("NOx") and sulfur dioxide ("SO2").
- 10. Defendant is a "person" as defined in Section 302(e) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7602(e).

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY BACKGROUND CAA and National Ambient Air Quality Standards

- 11. The CAA was enacted to protect and enhance the quality of the Nation's air. Section 101(b) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7401(b).
- 12. Section 109(a) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7409(a), requires the Administrator of EPA to publish national ambient air quality standards ("NAAQS") for certain air pollutants. The NAAQS establish primary air quality standards to protect public health and secondary standards to protect public welfare. Section 109(b) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7409(b).
- 13. The Administrator has promulgated NAAQS for CO, nitrogen dioxide ("NO2") (which is a type of NOx) and SO2. 40 C.F.R. §§ 50.4 and 50.5 (1996); 40 C.F.R. § 50.8 (1985) and § 50.11 (1985).

- 15. The Facility is located in the Southeast Desert Air Quality Management Area ("Area").
- 16. At all times relevant to this action, the Area was designated as attainment or unclassifiable for CO, NO2 and SO2. 40 C.F.R. § 81.305 (1978).
- 17. To achieve the objectives of the NAAQS and the CAA, Section 110(a) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a), requires each state to submit a plan to the Administrator that provides for the implementation, maintenance and enforcement of the NAAQS in each air quality control region. This plan is known as an applicable implementation plan.

Prevention of Significant Deterioration of Air Quality

- 18. Areas that are attainment or unclassifiable are subject to statutory and regulatory Prevention of Significant Deterioration of Air Quality ("PSD") provisions. Subchapter I, Part C of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 7470-7492 and 40 C.F.R. § 52.21 (1980) (1997 and 2000 Editions).
- 19. The PSD program seeks to prevent significant deterioration where ambient air standards are being met or have not been classified. Specifically, its purposes are to: 1) prevent significant deterioration of air quality in attainment areas, 2) protect the public health and welfare from adverse effects from air pollution, 3) ensure that emissions from a source do not interfere with the

8

10

12

17

18

21

22

prevention of significant deterioration of air quality in other areas, and 4) ensure that any decision to permit increased air pollution in an attainment or unclassifiable area is made only after careful evaluation of the consequences, an opportunity for public participation and an informed decision-making process.

Section 160 of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7470.

- 20. The core of the program is that "[n]o major emitting facility . . . may be constructed in any area to which this part applies unless" various requirements are met. Section 165(a) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7475(a). These requirements include obtaining a permit with emission limits, demonstrating that emissions will not contribute to a NAAQS violation and applying "best available control technology" ("BACT") to control emissions. <u>Id.</u>
- 21. Section 110(a)(2)(C) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(C), requires that each applicable implementation plan include a PSD permit program as provided in Subchapter I, Part C of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 7470-7492.
- 22. Section 161 of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7471, requires each applicable implementation plan to contain "emission limitations and such other measures as may be necessary . . . to prevent significant deterioration of air quality" in attainment and unclassifiable areas.
- 23. Pursuant to Subchapter I, Part C of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 7470-7492, EPA promulgated 40 C.F.R. § 52.21, the PSD regulations.
- 24. The provisions of 40 C.F.R. § 52.21(b) through (w) were incorporated by reference and made part of California's applicable implementation plan in 1985. 40 C.F.R. § 52.270(a)(3) (1985).

- 26. In general, the PSD regulations require major stationary sources and major modifications to major stationary sources to apply for, obtain and operate in accordance with a PSD permit.
- 27. In pertinent part, the PSD regulations define a "major stationary source" to be, among others, a portland cement plant that emits or has the potential to emit regulated pollutants in amounts equal to or greater than 100 tons per year ("tpy"). 40 C.F.R. § 52.21(b)(1)(i)(a).
- 28. The PSD regulations define "potential to emit" as "the maximum capacity of a stationary source to emit a pollutant under its physical and operational design." 40 C.F.R. § 52.21(b)(4). Physical and operational limitations on a source's capacity to emit may be considered only if they meet certain criteria, such as inclusion in a federally enforceable permit. <u>Id.</u>
- 29. "Major modification" is defined as "any physical change in or change in the method of operation of a major stationary source that would result in a significant net emissions increase of any pollutant subject to regulation under the Act." 40 C.F.R. § 52.21(b)(2)(i).
- 30. An emissions increase is "significant" if the net increase or potential to emit is equal to or greater than 100 tpy of CO, 40 tpy of NOx or 40 tpy of SO2. 40 C.F.R. § 52.21(b)(23)(i).

24

21

22

23

5

8

11

12

17

25

26

- 31. "Net emissions increase" is defined as "[a]ny increase in actual emissions from a particular physical change or change in method of operation" and any other emissions increase or decrease at the source that is contemporaneous and creditable. 40 C.F.R. § 52.21(b)(3)(i).
- 32. The PSD regulations define "actual emissions" as follows: "In general, actual emissions as of a particular date shall equal the average rate, in tons per year, at which the unit actually emitted the pollutant during a two-year period which precedes the particular date and which is representative of normal source operation." 40 C.F.R. § 52.21(b)(21)(i)-(ii). In addition, "[f]or any emissions unit . . . which has not begun normal operations on the particular date, actual emissions shall equal the potential to emit of the unit on that date." 40 C.F.R. § 52.21(b)(21)(iv).
- 33. The requirements of paragraphs (j) through (r) of 40 C.F.R. § 52.21 apply to any major stationary source and any major modification proposed to be constructed in an attainment or unclassifiable area. The requirements apply with respect to each pollutant, subject to regulation under the CAA, that it would emit. 40 C.F.R. § 52.21(i)(2)-(3).
- 34. If a source meets the criteria above, then it is subject to the PSD permitting process. The PSD permitting process requires among other things, applying for, obtaining and operating pursuant to a PSD permit, an analysis of source impacts, air quality modeling and analysis, the application of best available control technology and meaningful public participation in the process. 40 C.F.R. § 52.21(j)-(q).

36. Any owner or operator of a source or modification subject to 40 C.F.R. § 52.21 who constructs or operates a source not in accordance with a PSD application or commences construction without applying for and receiving approval thereunder is subject to an enforcement action. 40 C.F.R. § 52.21(r)(1).

Title V Permit

- 37. Title V of the CAA, Sections 501-507, 42 U.S.C. §§ 7661-7661f, establishes an operating permit program for certain sources, including "major sources" and any source required to have a PSD permit. Section 502(a), 42 U.S.C. § 7661a(a). Regulations implementing the Title V permit program are set forth in 40 C.F.R. Part 70 (State Operating Permit Programs).
- 38. Pursuant to the Title V program, it is unlawful for any person to violate any requirement of a permit issued under Title V or to operate a major source except in compliance with a permit issued by a permitting authority under Title V. Section 502(a) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7661a(a). The requirement to obtain and operate pursuant to a Title V permit is further set forth in District Regulation II, Rule 221(A)(1)-(2) (District's Title V program granted final approval by EPA in 2003).

39. Sources subject to Title V are required to submit timely and complete applications and obtain and comply with an operating permit that: 1) contains such conditions necessary to assure compliance with the applicable requirements, 2) identifies all applicable requirements the source is subject to (including PSD requirements such as BACT analysis and installation) and 3) certifies compliance with all applicable requirements, and where a source is not meeting requirements, contains a plan for coming into compliance. Section 503 of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7661b; Section 504(a) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7661c(a); 40 C.F.R. §§ 70.1 (1992); 70.5 (1992) and 70.6 (1992).

Enforcement Authority

- 40. The Administrator is authorized to bring a civil action, in accordance with Section 113(b) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(b), whenever he finds that a person has violated or is in violation of any requirement or prohibition of an applicable implementation plan or permit or that a person has violated or is in violation of certain subchapters of the CAA including PSD and Title V and any requirement or prohibition issued or approved under these provisions. Section 113(a)(1), (3) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(a)(1), (3) and Section 113(b)(1)-(2) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(b)(1)-(2). See also 40 C.F.R. § 52.23 (1974).
- 41. EPA may commence a civil action and seek injunctive relief as well as civil penalties for each day of violation. Section 113(b) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(b). Pursuant to the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996, Pub. L. 104-134, and 40 C.F.R. § 19.4 (2004) (Table), civil penalties of up to \$27,500 per day per violation may be assessed for violations occurring between January 30, 1997 and March 15, 2004 and up to \$32,500 per day per violation for violations occurring after March 15, 2004.

- 42. The Administrator is authorized to take such measures, including seeking injunctive relief, to prevent the construction, modification or operation of a major emitting facility which does not conform to the PSD requirements in Part C of the Act. Section 167 of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7477.
- 43. In September 2005, EPA issued a Notice of Violation to Defendant for violations of the CAA and the applicable implementation plan.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 44. Defendant owns and operates a portland cement manufacturing facility located in and near Victorville, CA. Portland cement is a component of the product concrete.
- 45. Over the course of its operation, the Facility has undergone numerous changes and upgrades. This action focuses on two particular modifications Defendant undertook at its Facility, one in 1997 and one in 2000, which resulted in violations of the CAA and the applicable implementation plan.
- 46. At all times relevant to this action, CEMEX California Cement, LLC (formerly Southdown California Cement, LLC) has owned and operated the Facility.
- 47. At all times relevant to this action, the Facility was a "major stationary source" within the meaning of the CAA, the PSD regulations and the applicable implementation plan. At all times relevant to this action, the Facility was a "major source" within the meaning of the CAA's Title V program and 40 C.F.R. § 70.2 (1992), and at all times relevant to this action, the Facility was a "facility" and "major facility" within the meaning of the District's Title V program, District Regulation II, Rule 221 and District Regulation XII, Rules 1200-1210.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(PSD Violations - 1997 Modification)

3

1

2

- 5
- 8
- 10
- 11
- 12

- 17
- 19
- 20

- 22

- 48. Paragraphs 1 through 47 of the Complaint are realleged and incorporated herein.
- 49. In or about 1997, Defendant commenced construction of one or more major modifications, as defined in the CAA, the PSD regulations and the applicable implementation plan, at its Quarry Plant.
- 50. Amongst other things, the modification included upgrading kiln Q2 which allowed the Facility to substantially increase its clinker production.
- CEMEX began operation of the modified kiln in approximately 51. August of 1997.
- 52. The modification(s) involved a physical change or change in the method of operation of a major stationary source that resulted in significant net emissions increases, as defined by the relevant PSD regulations and the applicable implementation plan, of CO, NOx and SO2, which triggered the PSD requirements.
- 53. Defendant failed to apply for, obtain or operate pursuant to a PSD permit for the modification(s), including the construction and operation of the modified kiln Q2.
- 54. By failing to apply for and obtain a PSD permit, Defendant failed to: 1) undergo proper PSD BACT analysis, 2) install and operate the best available control technology for the control of CO, NOx and SO2, 3) demonstrate that allowable emission increases from the modification would not cause or contribute to air pollution violations, 4) provide for review and public comment on the air quality impacts of the modification, and 5) provide for or receive review of the modification by EPA, the proper permitting authority. Section 165(a) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7475(a) and 40 C.F.R. § 52.21(j)-(q).

56. As provided in Section 113(b) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(b) and Section 167 of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7477, the violations set forth above subject Defendant to injunctive relief and civil penalties of up to \$27,500 per day for each day of violation occurring between January 30, 1997 through March 15, 2004 and up to \$32,500 per day for each day of violation after March 15, 2004. 40 C.F.R. § 19.4 (Table).

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(PSD Violations - 2000 Modification)

- 57. Paragraphs 1 through 47 of the Complaint are realleged and incorporated herein.
- 58. In or about 2000, Defendant commenced construction of one or more major modifications, as defined in the CAA, the PSD regulations and the applicable implementation plan, at its Quarry Plant.
- 59. Among other things, the modification included construction of a new kiln -- Q3 -- which allowed the Facility to substantially increase its clinker production.
 - 60. CEMEX began operation of the new kiln in 2001.
- 61. The modification(s) involved a physical change or change in the method of operation of a major stationary source that resulted in a significant net emissions increase, as defined by the relevant PSD regulations and the applicable implementation plan, of NOx, which triggered the PSD requirements.
- 62. Defendant failed to apply for, obtain or operate pursuant to a PSD permit for the construction and operation of Q3.

26

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

20

21

- 1 63. By failing to seek or obtain a PSD permit, Defendant failed to: 1)
 2 undergo proper PSD BACT analysis, 2) install and operate the best available
 3 control technology for the control of NOx, 3) demonstrate that allowable emission
 4 increases from the modification would not cause or contribute to air pollution
 5 violations, 4) provide for review and public comment on the air quality impacts of
 6 the modification, and 5) provide for or receive review of the modification by EPA,
 7 the proper permitting authority. Section 165(a) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7475(a)
 8 and 40 C.F.R. § 52.21(j)-(q).
 - 64. Defendant's construction and operation of kiln Q3 without a PSD permit constitutes a continuing violation of the CAA and the applicable implementation plan. Unless restrained by an order of this Court, these violations will continue.
 - 65. As provided in Section 113(b) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(b) and Section 167 of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7477, the violations set forth above subject Defendant to injunctive relief and civil penalties of up to \$27,500 per day for each day of violation occurring between January 30, 1997 through March 15, 2004 and up to \$32,500 per day for each day of violation after March 15, 2004. 40 C.F.R. § 19.4 (Table).

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Title V Violation - Operation with a Deficient Permit)

- 66. Paragraphs 1 through 47 of the Complaint are realleged and incorporated herein.
- 67. As set forth above, Defendant commenced one or more major modifications at its Facility in 1997 and 2000. As a result, these modifications triggered the requirements to, *inter alia*, obtain a PSD permit, to undergo a PSD BACT analysis and to operate in compliance with the PSD permit. Defendant failed to satisfy these requirements.

69. Thereafter, Defendant failed to supplement and/or correct its Title V application as required by 40 C.F.R. § 70.5(b).

8

10

13

18

19

21

22

23

- 70. As a result of Defendant's failure to provide complete information in its application or to properly supplement or correct, Defendant obtained a deficient Title V operating permit in 2004.
- 71. Pursuant to Section 502(a) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7661a(a), it is unlawful for any person to operate a source required to have a PSD permit except in compliance with a permit issued by a permitting authority under Title V. The regulations at 40 C.F.R. § 70.1(b) require all sources subject to the regulations to have an operating permit that assures compliance with the applicable requirements. See also 40 C.F.R. § 70.6(a).
- 72. Defendant has operated and continues to operate without a valid Title V operating permit in violation of Section 502 of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7661a; Section 503 of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7661b; Section 504 of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7661c; and 40 C.F.R. §§ 70.1, 70.5 and 70.6. Unless restrained by this Court, these violations will continue.
- 73. As provided in Section 113(b) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(b), the violations set forth above subject Defendant to injunctive relief and civil penalties of up to \$27,500 per day for each day of violation occurring between January 30, 1997 through March 15, 2004 and up to \$32,500 per day for each day of violation after March 15, 2004. 40 C.F.R. § 19.4 (Table).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the United States respectfully prays and requests that this Court:

- 1. Permanently enjoin the Defendant from operating the Facility, including the construction of future modifications, except in accordance with the CAA and the applicable implementation plan;
- 2. Require Defendant to remedy its past violations by ordering Defendant to apply for and obtain permits that are in conformity with the requirements of the PSD and Title V programs;
- Require Defendant to remedy its past violations by, among other 3. things, ordering Defendant to install and operate, the best available control technology at kilns Q2 and Q3 as required by the CAA and the applicable implementation plan;
- Assess civil penalties of up to \$27,500 per day for each day of 4. violation occurring between January 30, 1997 through March 15, 2004 and up to \$32,500 per day for each violation after March 15, 2004;
 - 5. Award the United States its costs; and
- Grant the United States such other relief as the Court deems just and 6. proper.

19

18

1

3

5

6

12

13

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

Respectfully submitted,

Matt.McKeown@usdoj.gov Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General Environment & Natural Resources Division

United States Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvaniā Ave., N.W.

Washington, DC 20530 Telephone: (202) 514-3370

(202) 514-0557 Facsimile:

1 2 By: CHRISTY L. KING 3 E-mail: Christy.King@usdoj.gov Wisconsin Bar Number: 1038373 E-mail: 4 Trial Attorney U.S. Department of Justice Environment and Natural Resources Division 5 **Environmental Enforcement Section** P.O. Box 7611 Washington, DC 20044 Telephone: (202) 514-1707 Facsimile: (202) 514-2583 7 8 9 **GEORGE CARDONA** Acting United States Attorney for 10 the Central District of California 11 12 Of Counsel: IVAN LIEBEN 13 E-mail: California Bar Number: 198044 Assistant Regional Counsel 15 United States Environmental Protection Agency Region IX 16 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 972-3914 Facsimile: (415) 947-3570 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

27